

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 18, 2004 LB 1089, 1093

comfortable after several hours of discussion and want to do what you want to, what Senator Chambers is proposing, that I will let it go. But I do think we need to discuss this and this is a good time as any to keep discussing. Thank you, Madam President.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Thank you, Senator Wehrbein. Senator Erdman.

SENATOR ERDMAN: Thank you, Madam President and members of the Legislature. I'm going to...I'm not going to get into philosophical because I would assume that we would go places we probably don't want to go to at this moment, but I would like to talk a little bit about what I'm hearing as far as the members of the Legislature's intent with an idea of suspending the rules. The idea that Senator Chambers has may be a little problematic, from my analysis, because we would put something on consent calendar of something that might be difficult. But I have talked with Senator Landis and his idea appears to be to move the package, with the committee amendments, to Select File, spend our time debating other pieces of legislation to work out other things. And in discussing that, the \$1.10 that comes up as far as the part of the state aid to schools, doesn't come in the committee amendment. It comes in an amendment to the committee amendments to LB 1093. So realistically, we could adopt all of the committee amendments, move the package to Select File, and still not fill the hole and have that gap there, if you will, between what is being proposed and what is actually going to be adopted by this body. So just for those who are concerned about the \$1.10, the \$1.10 comes as a separate amendment to LB 1093 which is an amendment to the committee amendment. So realistically, if you didn't like the \$1.10, you could still do what Senator Landis proposed and hold it at \$1.05. It would extend that through 2007-2008. But I think that's interesting. The reason why I have concerns with that is that we spend yesterday arguing with each other about \$2.5 million and how it's a travesty that we can't put a bill to Select File without knowing where the funding is coming from. We can put this bill, which is the funding for everything, to Select File without knowing where it's coming from. Is there any irony in that? I mean, it doesn't make sense to me; however, the will of the body is going to be the will of the